

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/611,845	07/01/2003	Tang-Wei Kuo	GP-303270	2773
75	90 05/04/2004		EXAM	INER
KATHRYN A MARRA			CORRIGAN, JAIME W	
General Motors Corporation Mail Code 482-C23-B21			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 300	C23-B21		3748	
Detroit, MI 48265-3000			DATE MAILED: 05/04/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			INA
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ant
	10/611,845	KUO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jaime W Corrigan	3748	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		01/20/02	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	·	•	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	ı. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-36</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeyar	ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the l	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A iority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(:	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>14 October 2003</u>. 	8) 5) Notice of it		

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities:

The word --degrees-- should be inserted after number "60". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5-7, 11, 15-19, 30-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Miyakubo et al. (PN 6,336,436).

Regarding claims 1, 15, 30 Miyakubo discloses a method of operating a four-stroke internal combustion engine (See Abstract) including a variable volume combustion chamber defined by a piston (See Figure 1 (13)) reciprocating within a cylinder between top-dead center and bottom-dead center points and at least one intake valve (See Figure 1 (14)) and one exhaust (See Figure 1 (15)) valve controlled during repetitive, sequential exhaust, intake, compression and expansion strokes of said piston comprising: providing a closed exhaust valve and a closed intake valve during an expansion stroke of said piston (See Column 1 Lines 1-40); providing an open exhaust

Art Unit: 3748

valve and a closed intake valve during an exhaust stroke of said piston (See Column 1 Lines 1-40); providing a closed exhaust valve and a closed intake valve during an intake stroke of said piston to establish a low pressure condition within the combustion chamber (See Column 9 Lines 48-54); providing an open exhaust valve and an open intake valve during said intake stroke of said piston to ingest (See Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56) combusted gases and fresh air, respectively, into said combustion chamber; and, providing a closed exhaust valve and a closed intake valve during a compression stroke of said piston (See Abstract).

Regarding claim 5 Miyakubo discloses providing a rebreathe event wherein said exhaust valve is open during at least a portion of the intake event (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 6 Miyakubo discloses said rebreathe event is initiated subsequent to initiation of said intake event (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 7 Miyakubo discloses said rebreathe event is terminated prior to termination of said intake event (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 11 Miyakubo discloses said rebreathe event is terminated prior to termination of said intake event (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 16 Miyakubo discloses said low pressure event is established by controlling phasing of an exhaust event exhaust valve closure and the intake valve opening (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 17 Miyakubo discloses the exhaust event exhaust valve closure absolute phase relative to exhaust stroke top dead center is not greater than the intake valve opening phase after exhaust stroke top dead center (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 18 Miyakubo discloses the exhaust event exhaust valve closure occurs before exhaust stroke top dead center (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Regarding claim 19 Miyakubo discloses the exhaust event exhaust valve closure occurs after exhaust stroke top dead center (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claim 31 Miyakubo discloses closure of the exhaust valve that is open during the exhaust stroke occurs at an absolute phase angle relative to exhaust stroke top dead center about not greater than the phase angle after exhaust stroke top dead center at which opening of the intake valve occurs (See Column 1 Lines 53-67, Column 2 Lines 1-15, Column 8 Lines 4-7, Column 10 Lines 52-56).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-4, 8-10, 12-14, 21-29, 32-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyakubo et al. (PN 6,336,436) in view of design choice.

Miyakubo discloses the invention as recited in claims 1, 15, 30 above, however, fails to disclose a combustion chamber pressure, exhaust valve lift range, rebreathe, exhaust valve and intake valve event angular ranges. It is the Examiner's position that the various particular pressures, lift ranges and angular ranges would have been an obvious matter of design choice well within the level of ordinary skill in the art depending on design variables such as engine load and speed requirements. Moreover, there is nothing in the record which establishes that the claimed applied forces presents a novel or unexpected result (See In re Kuhle, 526 F.2d 553, 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975)).

Art Unit: 3748

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Atago et al. (PN 6,286,478), Shiraishi et al. (PN 6,155,217), Nada (PN 5,746,182) disclose similar valve operation methods.

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Jaime Corrigan whose telephone number is (703) 308-2639. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 8:30 a.m. – 6:00 p.m. 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas E. Denion, can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

JC

Jaime Corrigan

Patent Examiner

May 02, 2004

Art Unit 3748

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700